

In the claims:

Claim 10, line 6, after "signal", replace "by" with--for--.

In the Abstract:

Line 1, after "identification tag", replace "for producing" to--produces--.

REMARKS

Applicant acknowledges the courtesy of a telephonic interview afforded by the Examiner on Tuesday, April 6, 1999, and this amendment and ensuing remarks result from the discussion of various considerations with the examiner.

Applicant has pointed out that claim 5 and claim 6 differ in that claim 5 calls for "a plurality of conductive paths selectively formed on said substrate" while claim 6 calls for "a plurality of conductive paths selectively deposited on said substrate." It is respectfully submitted that the difference in language is significant and sufficiently differentiates the claimed subject matter.

Claim 10, line 6 has been amended to overcome the Examiner's rejection of claims 10-13.

So far as a legend relating to prior art is concerned in Figs. 1-3, it is respectfully pointed out that each Figure does refer to prior art.

So far as Figs. 4 and 7 of the drawings are concerned, the description of Fig. 4 has been amended and Fig. 7 has been modified to eliminate the showing of the battery 82 with a corresponding amendment of the specification. A new drawing sheet showing modified Fig. 7 is transmitted herewith.

Applicant is submitting herewith, in accordance with the examiner's request, a copy of the article cited on Page 13.